OFFICIAL

Title: Clarifying Questions

Challenge: Geolocation of deepfakes from images

Published Date: Monday 13 October 2025

Q: Can we answer part of the challenge? eg. specific types of location only?

A: You will need to deliver at least one work stream.

Q: With regards to the red/blue testing, what is the degree of detail required in the prediction/estimate from the Blue team? e.g. Is a country (e.g. United Kingdom) sufficient or is greater detail required (e.g. Yorkshire).

Q: Detection location on which level? District, city, street, GPS coordinate?

A: We have no specific requirement, the best available. Where location estimates may vary in accuracy, we would be interested in understanding "why".

Q: Do you want this to be UK focused or global?

A: Ideally global

Q: Are you interested in commercial tools or the full suite of open capabilities (incl OSINT techniques)?

A: WS1 is to test open source, WS2 could be OS or in house.

Q: Can you possibly comment on testing done so far?

A: No

Q: Assuming also you're looking at adversarial attacks and spoofing methods?

A: Yes we want to understand when people change background images.

Q: Do you have evaluation methodology or is this part of the market assessment?

A: This is part of the market assessment.

Q: No training models required right?

A: Yes, that is correct.

O: What do you define as MVP?

A: The link challenge form details the MVP.

Q: So you want a commercially available geolocation tool that also highlights if the photo is not real/impossible to exist?

A: Yes, but they could be separate tools.

Q: With regards to "commercial photo geolocation tools", does this include ML models from sources such as GitHub or HuggingFace?

A: Yes, anything opensource.

Q: This is evaluation of commercial tool outputs?

Q: The first phase is evaluation?

OFFICIAL This information may be exempt under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and may be exempt under other UK information legislation. Refer any FOIA queries to the originating department.

OFFICIAL

- A: Yes, this is the evaluation of all tools available.
- Q: Applicants should aim to deliver a report of their research, and, if applicable, a developed geolocation deepfake detection image tool. This part was confusing. A: These are two different workstreams, only deliver the one you can.
- Q: Desirable requirements as well mentions you want "A geolocation tool which.." and details of the training data
- A: In terms of the heat map, for example you could overlay a RAG status of confidence.
- Q: Are you against improvements to existing tools (e.g. making models more robust to the things you mentioned you care about earlier), alongside evaluating and comparing existing models/tools?
- A: We are more interested in improving detection than improving the tools.
- Q: Could you clarify whether geolocation tools are expected to operate exclusively in offline mode during testing, or if both online and offline functionalities can be considered?
- A: Both are considered in testing, the final product will need to operate offline.
- Q: What is your current technology stack? Have you hosted the current solution onpremise or in Cloud? In either case, how would you provide the access to your test images that you had tested so far?
- A: Test images are not provided.
- Q: Can you explain more about the connection between the deepfake and geolocation strands? Do you see these are separate pieces or work?
- A: They are separate workstreams but we would prefer them to work together.
- Q: Is it more fully ai generated images you care about? Or AI-edited images (i.e. partial edits)?
- A: Full and partial edits.
- Q: Are there any preferred evaluation metrics (e.g. Accuracy, AUC)?
- A: Any are considered
- Q: Beyond specialist tools, could more general "popular" tools such as ChatGPT be employed (E.g. "Geolocate this image?")?
- A: Yes
- Q: Under Project Scope, the wording specifies the delivery would be a report of the applicants' research, and, if applicable, a developed geolocation deepfake detection image tool but the breakdown of the workstream does not mention any app or software development.
- A: Not for WS1 but yes for WS2

OFFICIAL This information may be exempt under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA) and may be exempt under other UK information legislation. Refer any FOIA queries to the originating department.

OFFICIAL

- Q: Could you please clarify whether as applicants we would only be performing tool/software testing and red/blue teaming, or would there be a mandatory requirement for the development of a tool/app?
- A: WS1 would be evaluation and the judgement of the code.
- Q: I wanted to confirm whether it is possible for a non-UK entity from the EU to lead or being a part of the team for the Geolocation and detection of deepfakes from photos challenge?
- A: Organisations from outside of the UK and are not embargoed countries can apply.
- Q: The co-creation links seem broken surevine's server gives a 403?
- A: We are aware of some bugs with our new website, you can navigate to the page here: https://co-creation.hmgcc.gov.uk/
- Q: Are the personnel CV's required as pen profiles or as full two-page documents?
- A: A summary of the technical credibility of those involved is sufficient.